Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## FINAL ORDER

Amarjit Singh, S/o Balvir Singh, VPO Karnana, Tehsil Nawanshar, District SBS Nagar.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Superintendent of Police, District Patiala.

## Complaint Case No. 661 of 2021 (Cisco Webex Proceedings)

### PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833

## ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Amarjit Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **4.2.2021** and sought information <u>regarding deaths, suicides etc. during the period 23.3.2020 to 13.7.2020</u>, from the PIO o/o **SSP**, **Patiala**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **27.5.2021**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **4.3.2022**.

2. The complainant is absent. The respondent PIO represented by ASI Hakam Singh, has made a submission to the Commission vide Letter No. 412 Dated 24.2.2022 stating that the information was initially denied to the complainant vide Letter No. 357 Dated 22.2.2021 (which was well within the stipulated period of 30 days) because he had not attached his ID proof. The respondent PIO also informed the Commission that the information requested at point no. 1 is not in the custody of the Police Department. As regards point no. 2 and 3, he states that the said information will have to be collected from various Police stations in the district.

3. The respondent PIO has cited the Punjab State Information Commission's Order in Complaint Case No. 2177 of 2010 Dated 2.8.2010, stating that the information that has to be collected/collated/tabulated is not as per the RTI Act 2005. He has also cited DOD Government of India Letter No. 1/18/2011-R Dated 16.9.2021, in this regard.

4. This Commission upholds the decision of respondent PIO. There is no further cause for action and this Complaint Case is herewith <u>CLOSED</u>.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 4.3.2022

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## FINAL ORDER

Inderjit Sahni, # 1351, HIG, Phase-I, District Bathinda-151001

Versus

#### **Public Information officer,** o/o Local Registrar, Birth and Death, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

## Complaint Case No. 773 of 2021 (Cisco Webex Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Complainant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

## ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Inderjit Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **28.3.2021** and sought information <u>by way of a certified copy of the application for his father</u>, Sh. Tilak Raj <u>Sawhney's death certificate</u>, from the PIO o/o Local Registrar, Birth and Death, MC, Ludhiana. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **16.6.2021**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **4.3.2022**.

2. Both parties are absent. The complainant has however informed the Commission that he has now received the information from the respondent PIO.

3. In view of the above, there is no further cause for action and this Complaint Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 4.3.2022

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### FINAL ORDER

## Sukhwinder Singh Kamboj,

S/o Sh. Mangat Singh, # PP 773, Emaar Mohali Hills, Sector-108, District Mohali.

Versus

#### Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala.

## Complaint Case No. 1054 of 2021 (Cisco Webex Proceedings)

#### PRESENT: Sukhwinder Singh Kamboj (Complainant) 99148-82279 ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833

## ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Sukhwinder Singh Kamboj**, filed this RTI application dated **28.4.2021** and sought information <u>regarding a complaint No. 2570/peshi dated 12.4.2021 and copy of FIR</u>, from the PIO o/o **SSP**, **Patiala**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **13.8.2021**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **4.3.2022**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, has submitted that a reply was sent to the complainant vide Letter No. 1027 Dated 11.6.2021, informing him that the matter cited in the RTI application was under investigation with the SHO Police Station, Urban Estate, Patiala. The requested information was consequently withheld citing the Section 8(1)(h). The respondent informed the Commission vide Letter No. 404 Dated 24.2.2022 that the matter is still pending with the SHO Police Station, Urban Estate.

3. This is a Complaint Case and this Commission is of the view that marginal delay in responding to the RTI application can be condoned in light of the restrictions due to ongoing Pandemic.

4. There is no further cause for action and this Complaint Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 4.3.2022

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in





To, **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, District Ludhiana.

## Surjit Singh,

S/o Sh. Kartar Singh, Near Bus Stand, Near Sardulgarh, District Mansa.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** o/o Municipal Corporation, District Ludhiana.

## Complaint Case No. 1186 of 2021 (Cisco Webex Proceedings)

PRESENT: Surjit Singh (Complainant) 93549-27505 (Respondent) Absent

## ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Surjit Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **13.2.2021** and sought information <u>regarding the death certificate of Surinder Kumar Goyal s/o Meghraj</u>, from the PIO o/o **Municipal Corporation**, **Ludhiana**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **29.9.2021**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **4.3.2022**.

2. The respondent PIO is absent without intimation. The complainant states that it is imperative that he get the information he has requested in the RTI application. This is however, a Complaint Case and the Commission is under no obligation to ensure provision of the requested information. In view of the complainant's contention, this Complaint Case is remanded back to the First Appellate Authority o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana, with the direction that both parties be heard before issuing an appropriate order.

3. There is no further cause for action and this Complaint Case is herewith **<u>CLOSED</u>**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 4.3.2022